



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,299	09/26/2006	Yunchuan Qin	SHA-142NP	8794
23995 7590 10/13/2009 RABIN & Berdo, PC 1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
CHAL LONGBIT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2431				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/13/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/594,299

**Applicant(s)**

QIN ET AL.

**Examiner**

LONGBIT CHAI

**Art Unit**

2431

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Currently pending claims are 1 – 61.

### *Response to Arguments*

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the subject matter of the instant claims have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Please refer to the Examiner's comments presented with respect to the associated claim limitations as rejected below.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 – 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Felsher (U.S. Patent 2002/0010679), which also contains, at least, Hillhouse (U.S. Patent 6,052,468) and Sudia (U.S. Patent 6,009,177) as being **incorporated by references**, in view of Tello (U.S. Patent 2003/0018892).

As per claim 1, Felsher teaches secret file access authorization system with fingerprint limitation, comprising:

**an authorization server provided with an authorization module, which provides a fingerprint template and an authorization secret key** (Hillhouse: Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46

– 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 43: (a) the key server is qualified as an authorization-and-encryption server, which is provided with the authorization module and the encryption module (b) the registered user finger-print data is qualified as a set of fingerprint templates and (c) the authorization key is derived from the user identity information (e.g. a password / finger-print is hashed into a 64-bit code) which is used to encrypt the cryptographic key that encrypts the data file), **the authorization module includes a password fingerprint unit, an environment fingerprint sampling unit and a time fingerprint sampling unit, which are set in parallel, as well as the authorization unit** (Felsner: Para [0354] and Para [0087] Line 15 – 20: (a) the unique finger-print generating device is qualified as an environment fingerprint sampling unit (e.g. hardware device token RSASecureID, and etc.) and the finger-print digital information (e.g. PIN) and (b) valid only for a certain time period when sampled, the time fingerprint sampling unit generates the unique and unduplicable data to be used as the time fingerprint) & (Hillhouse: Column 7 line 46 – 52, Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: according to a parallel-setup of a plurality of authentication methods to fulfill the verifications) ;

**an encryption server provided with an encryption module, which generates a decryption secret key by accepting the authorization secret key provided by the authorization module, and produces encrypted secret files by encrypting secret files to be encrypted** (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: (a) the key server is qualified as an encryption-and-authorization server, which is provided with the encryption module and the authorization module; and (b) a cryptographic key is used to encrypt the data file after being decrypted by an authorization key, which is derived from the user identity information);

**a certification server provided with the authorization module, which accepts the fingerprint template provided by the authorization module, accepts the decryption secret key provided by the encryption module and the authorization secret key claiming certification that is sent by the client, and judges and confirms providing the certified decryption secret key** (Felsher: Para [0315] and [0198] & Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 35 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65 and Column 1 Line 40 – 45: (a) a Certificate Authority (CA) is qualified as a certification-and-authorization server (i.e. certified after being authenticated) which verifies the user identification information and provides the cryptographic key for data file encryption / decryption and (b) alternatively, the user identification information can be a registered biometrical user finger-print template data);

**at least one client machine, each of which is provided with a user module, which embeds a kernel encryption/decryption unit into a corresponding operation system kernel of the client** (see Tellico below), **accepts the authorization secret key provided by the authorization module and the decryption secret key provided by the encryption module** (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: see above), **sends the claiming of certification respectively to a certification module** (Sudia: Column 10 Line 50 – 53 and Column 15 Line 23 – 31: the client submits the request (i.e. for claiming certification) of the private decryption key to be certified by the CA where a key escrow system allows the user to choose the key escrow certification agents to safeguard his private key and each certificate is valid if it is signed by a master escrow center certifying that the private decryption key of that device has been escrowed), **opens the encryption / decryption unit with a certified authorization secret key and the certified decryption secret key which is returned after the certification module makes the certification, and reads/writes the encrypted secret files** (Felsher: Para [0119] Line 15 – 20,

Para [0314] Line 9 – 11 and Para [0315]: (a) a client / requester enter the user identity data and receives the cryptographic key from the Certificate Authority (CA) and reads the encrypted secret files from the database and release the file content after being decrypted, wherein the user identification information authenticated by the CA is qualified as the certified authorization key (since the user identification information is used to derive the authorization key and the encrypted cryptographic key can only be decrypted by the authorization key at the encryption module as taught by Hillhouse (see above)) and the cryptographic key provided by the CA is qualified as a certified cryptographic key that is used to read / decrypt / release the secret files from the database – therefore, Examiner notes the user identity information is first authenticated at the authorization-and-encryption server / module and further certified at the Certificate Authority (CA) (i.e. the certification-and-authorization server / module) and subsequently the client uses the certified cryptographic key to read the encrypted secret files from the database and release the file content after being decrypted).

However, Felsher does not teach *embedding the kernel encryption / decryption unit into the corresponding operation system kernel of the client.*

Tello teaches **embedding the kernel encryption/decryption unit into the corresponding operation system kernel of the client** (Tello: Para [0200]: the operating systems have an encryption system embedded in order to speed the encryption / decryption process in more secure way through the security engine, where the system includes a security kernel that provides encryption / decryption in real time without requiring an extended resource from the main CPU).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tello within the system of Felsher because (a) Felsher teaches the encryption / decryption key are used in a locally executing algorithm to

encrypt / decrypt and release the file content (Felsher: Para [0233] Line 8 – 12), and (b) Tello teaches a more secured and cost-effective security kernel (i.e. the operating systems have an encryption system embedded) that provides encryption / decryption in real time without requiring an extended resource from the main CPU (Tello: Para [0200]).

As per claim 2, Felsher as modified teaches the authorization server, the encryption server and the certification server are merged to constitute a system server, which is provided with the authorization module, the encryption module and the certification module (Hillhouse: Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 43: a key server is qualified as an authorization-and-encryption server) & (Felsher: Page 15 / Right Column / Line 49 – 50: centralizing processing of a key server and certification server for life cycle management where a key server is also qualified as an authorization-and-encryption server – i.e. centralized the certification server and authorization-and-encryption server are integrated and served as a system server).

As per claim 3, Felsher as modified teaches the authorization server and the encryption server are merged to constitute an authorization-and-encryption server, which is provided with the authorization module and the encryption module (Hillhouse: Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 43: a key server is qualified as an authorization-and-encryption server).

As per claim 4, Felsher as modified teaches the authorization server and the certification server are merged to constitute an authorization-and-certification server, which is provided with the authorization module and the certification module (Felsher: Para [0117] Line 7 – 13: a

certification server is also an authorization server that can enable the client device to communicate with other trusted devices).

As per claim 5, Felsher as modified teaches the encryption server and the certification server are merged to constitute an encryption-and-certification server, which is provided with the encryption module and the certification module (Felsher: Para [0315] Line 3 – 5 / Line 11 – 16: a certification is also a encryption / decryption server that can be driven by a need to account for access the release file content after decrypting the encrypted data file).

As per claim 6 and 17 – 20, Felsher as modified teaches a password fingerprint unit, the environment fingerprint sampling unit and the time fingerprint sampling unit are set in parallel respectively by the bidirectional programs; and wherein the authorization unit provides the authorization secret key; while the password fingerprint unit, the environment fingerprint sampling unit and the time fingerprint sampling unit that are set in parallel provide the fingerprint template (Felsher: Para [0354] and Para [0087] Line 15 – 20: (a) the unique finger-print generating device associated with the client machine is qualified as an environment fingerprint sampling unit (e.g. hardware device token RSASecureID, and etc.) and the finger-print digital information (e.g. PIN) and (b) valid only for a certain time period when sampled, the time fingerprint sampling unit generates the unique and unduplicable data to be used as the time fingerprint) & (Hillhouse: Column 7 line 46 – 52, Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: according to a parallel-setup of a plurality of authentication methods to fulfill the verifications).



As per claim 7 and 21 – 24, Felsher as modified teaches the authorization secret key is a binary string of a certain length (Hillhouse: Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 43: the authorization key is derived from the user identity information (e.g. a password / finger-print is hashed into a 64-bit code).

As per claim 8 and 25 – 28, Felsher as modified teaches the authorization secret key can be put into the authorized entity (Hillhouse: Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 43).

As per claim 9 and 29 – 32, Felsher as modified teaches , the fingerprint template is a binary string of a certain length (Hillhouse: Column 6 Line 48 – 51: the fingerprint template is a binary string of a certain length in order to assure the derived crypto-key has a determinable key-length).

As per claim 10 and 33 – 36, Felsher as modified teaches the encryption module includes the secret key generation unit and the encryption unit, which are linked in sequence by the programs; the secret key generation unit provides the decryption secret key after accepting the authorization secret key provided by the authorization module; the encryption unit accepts the input of secret files to be encrypted, and produces the encrypted secret files by using the decryption secret key provided by the secret key generation unit (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 43: (a) the key server is qualified as an authorization-and-encryption server, which is provided with the authorization module and the encryption module (b) the registered user finger-print data is qualified as a set of fingerprint templates and (c) the authorization key is derived from the user

identity information (e.g. a password / finger-print is hashed into a 64-bit code) which is used to encrypt the cryptographic key that encrypts the data file and (d) a cryptographic key is used to encrypt the data file after being decrypted by an authorization key, which is derived from the user identity information).

As per claim 11 and 37 – 40, Felsner as modified teaches the encryption unit accepts the input of the secret files to be encrypted, and produces the encrypted secret files by using the authorization secret key (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: (a) the key server is qualified as an encryption-and-authorization server, which is provided with the encryption module and the authorization module; and (b) a cryptographic key is used to encrypt the data file after being decrypted by an authorization key, which is derived from the user identity information).

As per claim 12 and 41 – 44, Felsner as modified teaches the encryption unit accepts the input of the secret files to be encrypted, and produces the encrypted secret files by using the decryption secret key and the authorization secret key at the same time (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: a cryptographic key is used to encrypt the data file after being decrypted by an authorization key, which is derived from the user identity information and thereby, the decryption secret key and the authorization secret key must be used at the same time).

As per claim 13 and 45 – 48, Felsner as modified teaches the certification module includes an environment fingerprint certification unit, a password fingerprint certification unit, and a time fingerprint certification unit set in parallel by accepting the fingerprint template

provided by the authorization module; the certification interface unit linked with them by the bidirectional programs, which also accepts the decryption secret key provided by the encryption module and the certification secret key from the user module claiming certification respectively, and provides the certified decryption secret key for the user module (Felsher: Para [0087] Line 15 – 20: (a) the unique finger-print generating device is qualified as an environment fingerprint sampling unit and the finger-print digital information and (b) according to the present time when sampled, the time fingerprint sampling unit generates the unique and unduplicable data to be used as the time fingerprint) & (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43, Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40: finger-print & passwords) & (Sudia: Column 10 Line 50 – 53 and Column 15 Line 23 – 31: the certification module validates the identity of the user prior to issuing the certificate).

As per claim 14 and 49 – 52, Felsher as modified teaches the user module includes the application unit, the kernel encryption/decryption unit and the input/output unit, which are linked in sequence by the bidirectional programs; as well as the authorization input unit, which accepts the authorization secret key and sends it into the kernel encryption/decryption unit; the kernel encryption/decryption unit provides the authorization secret key claiming certification for the certification module, and accepts the certified decryption secret key sent by the certification module; and the input/output unit is coupled with the encrypted secret files bidirectionally; the kernel encryption/decryption unit is embedded in the client operation system kernel (Tello: Para [0200]: the operating systems have an encryption system embedded in order to speed the encryption / decryption process in more secure way through the security engine, where the system includes a security kernel that provides encryption / decryption in real time without requiring an extended resource from the main CPU) & (Hillhouse: Column 5 Line 42 – 43,

Art Unit: 2431

Column 8 Line 23 – 26 / 46 – 65, Column 1 Line 40 – 45 and Column 5 Line 35 – 40) & (Sudia: Column 10 Line 50 – 53 and Column 15 Line 23 – 31).

As per claim 15 and 53 – 56, Felsher as modified teaches the client operation system can be Microsoft Windows 95/98/ME/NT/2000/XP/2003 Server or Linux/Unix or Pocket, Symbian OS, Windows CE embedded operation system or Mac OS or Sun OS, Novell netware and other server or network operation systems (Tello: Para [0004]: Microsoft Windows 2000).

As per claim 16 and 57 – 60, Felsher as modified teaches the program used by the application unit can be Microsoft Office and its components or other desktop applications or embedded applications (Tello: Para [0004]: application that is running under Microsoft Office including Windows 2000).

As per claim 61, Felsher as modified teaches the environment fingerprint sampling unit determines whether a request for decryption of one of the encrypted secret files originated from a client machine that is authorized to decrypt said one of the encrypted secret files, and wherein the time signature sampling unit determines whether said request for decryption has occurred during a limited time window set for authorized decryption (Felsher: Para [0119], Para [0354] and Para [0087] Line 15 – 20: (a) The decrypt the encrypted data/ file upon successful completion of authentications, wherein (b) the unique finger-print generating device associated with the client machine is qualified as an environment fingerprint sampling unit (e.g. hardware device token RSAsecureID, and etc.) and the finger-print digital information (e.g. PIN) and (b) valid only for a certain time period when sampled, the time fingerprint sampling unit generates the unique and unduplicable data to be used as the time fingerprint).

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LONGBIT CHAI whose telephone number is (571)272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Longbit Chai/

Longbit Chai E.E. Ph.D  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431  
10/8/2009